

March 21, 2016

The Honorable Jim McDermott U.S. House of Representatives 1035 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McDermott:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Commission's efforts to modernize the universal service Lifeline program. I share your desire to ensure that Lifeline continues to assist low income consumers with access to affordable communications services. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

I wholeheartedly agree that broadband has evolved to become an essential vehicle for expanding access to information, health services, educational resources, and employment opportunities. And while it is clear that broadband has become essential in today's society, affordability remains a major barrier to adoption by low-income consumers. That is why transforming Lifeline for the 21st century is key to the future of this vital program.

Earlier this month, working closely with Commissioner Clyburn, I circulated a proposed Order for my colleagues' consideration that would modernize the Commission's Lifeline program to make broadband more affordable for low-income Americans. At the same time, the proposed Order would put in place a number of key programmatic reforms designed to protect the integrity of the Lifeline program and build on the Commission's recent efforts to root out waste, fraud, and abuse in the program. The Order will be considered at the FCC's March 31 Open Meeting.

The proposed Order takes a number of the steps you recommend to address the broadband affordability gap. For example, for the first time, low income consumers could, as you suggest, apply the \$9.25 per month support to stand-alone broadband service, as well as bundled voice and data service packages. In addition, the Order would free up the Lifeline marketplace to encourage wide participation in the program by broadband providers, giving consumers competitive service options. And the proposed Order would put in place minimum service standards to ensure that eligible subscribers' benefits are directed only to quality services that are worthy of universal service funding.

Page 2—The Honorable Jim McDermott

income-based eligibility. The Order would also establish a National Eligibility Verifier that would remove telecommunications carriers from the process of making eligibility determinations. I expect that these changes will make Lifeline a truly 21st Century program that effectively and responsibly makes broadband service accessible for low-income households.

While the Order on circulation takes many of your recommended steps, I recognize that it may not take all of them. Nonetheless, I am heartened that we agree completely on the critical need to modernize the Lifeline program for a digital era and to do so as soon as possible. The proposed Lifeline Order was designed with two equally important goals in mind—to help connect low-income Americans to the Internet and to ensure the fiscal integrity of the program going forward. We need not choose between the two. We can—and must—have both. And we can—and must—do so now.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely



March 21, 2016

The Honorable Jim McGovern U.S. House of Representatives 438 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McGovern:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Commission's efforts to modernize the universal service Lifeline program. I share your desire to ensure that Lifeline continues to assist low income consumers with access to affordable communications services. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

I wholeheartedly agree that broadband has evolved to become an essential vehicle for expanding access to information, health services, educational resources, and employment opportunities. And while it is clear that broadband has become essential in today's society, affordability remains a major barrier to adoption by low-income consumers. That is why transforming Lifeline for the 21st century is key to the future of this vital program.

Earlier this month, working closely with Commissioner Clyburn, I circulated a proposed Order for my colleagues' consideration that would modernize the Commission's Lifeline program to make broadband more affordable for low-income Americans. At the same time, the proposed Order would put in place a number of key programmatic reforms designed to protect the integrity of the Lifeline program and build on the Commission's recent efforts to root out waste, fraud, and abuse in the program. The Order will be considered at the FCC's March 31 Open Meeting.

The proposed Order takes a number of the steps you recommend to address the broadband affordability gap. For example, for the first time, low income consumers could, as you suggest, apply the \$9.25 per month support to stand-alone broadband service, as well as bundled voice and data service packages. In addition, the Order would free up the Lifeline marketplace to encourage wide participation in the program by broadband providers, giving consumers competitive service options. And the proposed Order would put in place minimum service standards to ensure that eligible subscribers' benefits are directed only to quality services that are worthy of universal service funding.

Page 2—The Honorable Jim McGovern

income-based eligibility. The Order would also establish a National Eligibility Verifier that would remove telecommunications carriers from the process of making eligibility determinations. I expect that these changes will make Lifeline a truly 21st Century program that effectively and responsibly makes broadband service accessible for low-income households.

While the Order on circulation takes many of your recommended steps, I recognize that it may not take all of them. Nonetheless, I am heartened that we agree completely on the critical need to modernize the Lifeline program for a digital era and to do so as soon as possible. The proposed Lifeline Order was designed with two equally important goals in mind—to help connect low-income Americans to the Internet and to ensure the fiscal integrity of the program going forward. We need not choose between the two. We can—and must—have both. And we can—and must—do so now.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



March 21, 2016

The Honorable Gwen Moore U.S. House of Representatives 2245 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Moore:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Commission's efforts to modernize the universal service Lifeline program. I share your desire to ensure that Lifeline continues to assist low income consumers with access to affordable communications services. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

I wholeheartedly agree that broadband has evolved to become an essential vehicle for expanding access to information, health services, educational resources, and employment opportunities. And while it is clear that broadband has become essential in today's society, affordability remains a major barrier to adoption by low-income consumers. That is why transforming Lifeline for the 21st century is key to the future of this vital program.

Earlier this month, working closely with Commissioner Clyburn, I circulated a proposed Order for my colleagues' consideration that would modernize the Commission's Lifeline program to make broadband more affordable for low-income Americans. At the same time, the proposed Order would put in place a number of key programmatic reforms designed to protect the integrity of the Lifeline program and build on the Commission's recent efforts to root out waste, fraud, and abuse in the program. The Order will be considered at the FCC's March 31 Open Meeting.

The proposed Order takes a number of the steps you recommend to address the broadband affordability gap. For example, for the first time, low income consumers could, as you suggest, apply the \$9.25 per month support to stand-alone broadband service, as well as bundled voice and data service packages. In addition, the Order would free up the Lifeline marketplace to encourage wide participation in the program by broadband providers, giving consumers competitive service options. And the proposed Order would put in place minimum service standards to ensure that eligible subscribers' benefits are directed only to quality services that are worthy of universal service funding.

Page 2—The Honorable Gwen Moore

income-based eligibility. The Order would also establish a National Eligibility Verifier that would remove telecommunications carriers from the process of making eligibility determinations. I expect that these changes will make Lifeline a truly 21st Century program that effectively and responsibly makes broadband service accessible for low-income households.

While the Order on circulation takes many of your recommended steps, I recognize that it may not take all of them. Nonetheless, I am heartened that we agree completely on the critical need to modernize the Lifeline program for a digital era and to do so as soon as possible. The proposed Lifeline Order was designed with two equally important goals in mind—to help connect low-income Americans to the Internet and to ensure the fiscal integrity of the program going forward. We need not choose between the two. We can—and must—have both. And we can—and must—do so now.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely



March 21, 2016

The Honorable Jerrold Nadler U.S. House of Representatives 2109 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Nadler:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Commission's efforts to modernize the universal service Lifeline program. I share your desire to ensure that Lifeline continues to assist low income consumers with access to affordable communications services. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

I wholeheartedly agree that broadband has evolved to become an essential vehicle for expanding access to information, health services, educational resources, and employment opportunities. And while it is clear that broadband has become essential in today's society, affordability remains a major barrier to adoption by low-income consumers. That is why transforming Lifeline for the 21st century is key to the future of this vital program.

Earlier this month, working closely with Commissioner Clyburn, I circulated a proposed Order for my colleagues' consideration that would modernize the Commission's Lifeline program to make broadband more affordable for low-income Americans. At the same time, the proposed Order would put in place a number of key programmatic reforms designed to protect the integrity of the Lifeline program and build on the Commission's recent efforts to root out waste, fraud, and abuse in the program. The Order will be considered at the FCC's March 31 Open Meeting.

The proposed Order takes a number of the steps you recommend to address the broadband affordability gap. For example, for the first time, low income consumers could, as you suggest, apply the \$9.25 per month support to stand-alone broadband service, as well as bundled voice and data service packages. In addition, the Order would free up the Lifeline marketplace to encourage wide participation in the program by broadband providers, giving consumers competitive service options. And the proposed Order would put in place minimum service standards to ensure that eligible subscribers' benefits are directed only to quality services that are worthy of universal service funding.

Page 2—The Honorable Jerrold Nadler

income-based eligibility. The Order would also establish a National Eligibility Verifier that would remove telecommunications carriers from the process of making eligibility determinations. I expect that these changes will make Lifeline a truly 21st Century program that effectively and responsibly makes broadband service accessible for low-income households.

While the Order on circulation takes many of your recommended steps, I recognize that it may not take all of them. Nonetheless, I am heartened that we agree completely on the critical need to modernize the Lifeline program for a digital era and to do so as soon as possible. The proposed Lifeline Order was designed with two equally important goals in mind—to help connect low-income Americans to the Internet and to ensure the fiscal integrity of the program going forward. We need not choose between the two. We can—and must—have both. And we can—and must—do so now.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



March 21, 2016

The Honorable Grace F. Napolitano U.S. House of Representatives 1610 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Napolitano:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Commission's efforts to modernize the universal service Lifeline program. I share your desire to ensure that Lifeline continues to assist low income consumers with access to affordable communications services. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

I wholeheartedly agree that broadband has evolved to become an essential vehicle for expanding access to information, health services, educational resources, and employment opportunities. And while it is clear that broadband has become essential in today's society, affordability remains a major barrier to adoption by low-income consumers. That is why transforming Lifeline for the 21st century is key to the future of this vital program.

Earlier this month, working closely with Commissioner Clyburn, I circulated a proposed Order for my colleagues' consideration that would modernize the Commission's Lifeline program to make broadband more affordable for low-income Americans. At the same time, the proposed Order would put in place a number of key programmatic reforms designed to protect the integrity of the Lifeline program and build on the Commission's recent efforts to root out waste, fraud, and abuse in the program. The Order will be considered at the FCC's March 31 Open Meeting.

The proposed Order takes a number of the steps you recommend to address the broadband affordability gap. For example, for the first time, low income consumers could, as you suggest, apply the \$9.25 per month support to stand-alone broadband service, as well as bundled voice and data service packages. In addition, the Order would free up the Lifeline marketplace to encourage wide participation in the program by broadband providers, giving consumers competitive service options. And the proposed Order would put in place minimum service standards to ensure that eligible subscribers' benefits are directed only to quality services that are worthy of universal service funding.

income-based eligibility. The Order would also establish a National Eligibility Verifier that would remove telecommunications carriers from the process of making eligibility determinations. I expect that these changes will make Lifeline a truly 21st Century program that effectively and responsibly makes broadband service accessible for low-income households.

While the Order on circulation takes many of your recommended steps, I recognize that it may not take all of them. Nonetheless, I am heartened that we agree completely on the critical need to modernize the Lifeline program for a digital era and to do so as soon as possible. The proposed Lifeline Order was designed with two equally important goals in mind—to help connect low-income Americans to the Internet and to ensure the fiscal integrity of the program going forward. We need not choose between the two. We can—and must—have both. And we can—and must—do so now.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



March 21, 2016

The Honorable Rick Nolan U.S. House of Representatives 2366 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Nolan:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Commission's efforts to modernize the universal service Lifeline program. I share your desire to ensure that Lifeline continues to assist low income consumers with access to affordable communications services. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

I wholeheartedly agree that broadband has evolved to become an essential vehicle for expanding access to information, health services, educational resources, and employment opportunities. And while it is clear that broadband has become essential in today's society, affordability remains a major barrier to adoption by low-income consumers. That is why transforming Lifeline for the 21st century is key to the future of this vital program.

Earlier this month, working closely with Commissioner Clyburn, I circulated a proposed Order for my colleagues' consideration that would modernize the Commission's Lifeline program to make broadband more affordable for low-income Americans. At the same time, the proposed Order would put in place a number of key programmatic reforms designed to protect the integrity of the Lifeline program and build on the Commission's recent efforts to root out waste, fraud, and abuse in the program. The Order will be considered at the FCC's March 31 Open Meeting.

The proposed Order takes a number of the steps you recommend to address the broadband affordability gap. For example, for the first time, low income consumers could, as you suggest, apply the \$9.25 per month support to stand-alone broadband service, as well as bundled voice and data service packages. In addition, the Order would free up the Lifeline marketplace to encourage wide participation in the program by broadband providers, giving consumers competitive service options. And the proposed Order would put in place minimum service standards to ensure that eligible subscribers' benefits are directed only to quality services that are worthy of universal service funding.

Page 2—The Honorable Rick Nolan

income-based eligibility. The Order would also establish a National Eligibility Verifier that would remove telecommunications carriers from the process of making eligibility determinations. I expect that these changes will make Lifeline a truly 21st Century program that effectively and responsibly makes broadband service accessible for low-income households.

While the Order on circulation takes many of your recommended steps, I recognize that it may not take all of them. Nonetheless, I am heartened that we agree completely on the critical need to modernize the Lifeline program for a digital era and to do so as soon as possible. The proposed Lifeline Order was designed with two equally important goals in mind—to help connect low-income Americans to the Internet and to ensure the fiscal integrity of the program going forward. We need not choose between the two. We can—and must—have both. And we can—and must—do so now.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



March 21, 2016

The Honorable Eleanor Holmes Norton U.S. House of Representatives 2136 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Norton:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Commission's efforts to modernize the universal service Lifeline program. I share your desire to ensure that Lifeline continues to assist low income consumers with access to affordable communications services. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

I wholeheartedly agree that broadband has evolved to become an essential vehicle for expanding access to information, health services, educational resources, and employment opportunities. And while it is clear that broadband has become essential in today's society, affordability remains a major barrier to adoption by low-income consumers. That is why transforming Lifeline for the 21st century is key to the future of this vital program.

Earlier this month, working closely with Commissioner Clyburn, I circulated a proposed Order for my colleagues' consideration that would modernize the Commission's Lifeline program to make broadband more affordable for low-income Americans. At the same time, the proposed Order would put in place a number of key programmatic reforms designed to protect the integrity of the Lifeline program and build on the Commission's recent efforts to root out waste, fraud, and abuse in the program. The Order will be considered at the FCC's March 31 Open Meeting.

The proposed Order takes a number of the steps you recommend to address the broadband affordability gap. For example, for the first time, low income consumers could, as you suggest, apply the \$9.25 per month support to stand-alone broadband service, as well as bundled voice and data service packages. In addition, the Order would free up the Lifeline marketplace to encourage wide participation in the program by broadband providers, giving consumers competitive service options. And the proposed Order would put in place minimum service standards to ensure that eligible subscribers' benefits are directed only to quality services that are worthy of universal service funding.

Page 2—The Honorable Eleanor Holmes Norton

income-based eligibility. The Order would also establish a National Eligibility Verifier that would remove telecommunications carriers from the process of making eligibility determinations. I expect that these changes will make Lifeline a truly 21st Century program that effectively and responsibly makes broadband service accessible for low-income households.

While the Order on circulation takes many of your recommended steps, I recognize that it may not take all of them. Nonetheless, I am heartened that we agree completely on the critical need to modernize the Lifeline program for a digital era and to do so as soon as possible. The proposed Lifeline Order was designed with two equally important goals in mind—to help connect low-income Americans to the Internet and to ensure the fiscal integrity of the program going forward. We need not choose between the two. We can—and must—have both. And we can—and must—do so now.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



March 21, 2016

The Honorable Chellie Pingree U.S. House of Representatives 2162 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Pingree:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Commission's efforts to modernize the universal service Lifeline program. I share your desire to ensure that Lifeline continues to assist low income consumers with access to affordable communications services. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

I wholeheartedly agree that broadband has evolved to become an essential vehicle for expanding access to information, health services, educational resources, and employment opportunities. And while it is clear that broadband has become essential in today's society, affordability remains a major barrier to adoption by low-income consumers. That is why transforming Lifeline for the 21st century is key to the future of this vital program.

Earlier this month, working closely with Commissioner Clyburn, I circulated a proposed Order for my colleagues' consideration that would modernize the Commission's Lifeline program to make broadband more affordable for low-income Americans. At the same time, the proposed Order would put in place a number of key programmatic reforms designed to protect the integrity of the Lifeline program and build on the Commission's recent efforts to root out waste, fraud, and abuse in the program. The Order will be considered at the FCC's March 31 Open Meeting.

The proposed Order takes a number of the steps you recommend to address the broadband affordability gap. For example, for the first time, low income consumers could, as you suggest, apply the \$9.25 per month support to stand-alone broadband service, as well as bundled voice and data service packages. In addition, the Order would free up the Lifeline marketplace to encourage wide participation in the program by broadband providers, giving consumers competitive service options. And the proposed Order would put in place minimum service standards to ensure that eligible subscribers' benefits are directed only to quality services that are worthy of universal service funding.

Page 2—The Honorable Chellie Pingree

income-based eligibility. The Order would also establish a National Eligibility Verifier that would remove telecommunications carriers from the process of making eligibility determinations. I expect that these changes will make Lifeline a truly 21st Century program that effectively and responsibly makes broadband service accessible for low-income households.

While the Order on circulation takes many of your recommended steps, I recognize that it may not take all of them. Nonetheless, I am heartened that we agree completely on the critical need to modernize the Lifeline program for a digital era and to do so as soon as possible. The proposed Lifeline Order was designed with two equally important goals in mind—to help connect low-income Americans to the Internet and to ensure the fiscal integrity of the program going forward. We need not choose between the two. We can—and must—have both. And we can—and must—do so now.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely



March 21, 2016

The Honorable Stacey Plaskett U.S. House of Representatives 509 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Plaskett:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Commission's efforts to modernize the universal service Lifeline program. I share your desire to ensure that Lifeline continues to assist low income consumers with access to affordable communications services. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

I wholeheartedly agree that broadband has evolved to become an essential vehicle for expanding access to information, health services, educational resources, and employment opportunities. And while it is clear that broadband has become essential in today's society, affordability remains a major barrier to adoption by low-income consumers. That is why transforming Lifeline for the 21st century is key to the future of this vital program.

Earlier this month, working closely with Commissioner Clyburn, I circulated a proposed Order for my colleagues' consideration that would modernize the Commission's Lifeline program to make broadband more affordable for low-income Americans. At the same time, the proposed Order would put in place a number of key programmatic reforms designed to protect the integrity of the Lifeline program and build on the Commission's recent efforts to root out waste, fraud, and abuse in the program. The Order will be considered at the FCC's March 31 Open Meeting.

The proposed Order takes a number of the steps you recommend to address the broadband affordability gap. For example, for the first time, low income consumers could, as you suggest, apply the \$9.25 per month support to stand-alone broadband service, as well as bundled voice and data service packages. In addition, the Order would free up the Lifeline marketplace to encourage wide participation in the program by broadband providers, giving consumers competitive service options. And the proposed Order would put in place minimum service standards to ensure that eligible subscribers' benefits are directed only to quality services that are worthy of universal service funding.

income-based eligibility. The Order would also establish a National Eligibility Verifier that would remove telecommunications carriers from the process of making eligibility determinations. I expect that these changes will make Lifeline a truly 21st Century program that effectively and responsibly makes broadband service accessible for low-income households.

While the Order on circulation takes many of your recommended steps, I recognize that it may not take all of them. Nonetheless, I am heartened that we agree completely on the critical need to modernize the Lifeline program for a digital era and to do so as soon as possible. The proposed Lifeline Order was designed with two equally important goals in mind—to help connect low-income Americans to the Internet and to ensure the fiscal integrity of the program going forward. We need not choose between the two. We can—and must—have both. And we can—and must—do so now.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely



March 21, 2016

The Honorable Mark Pocan U.S. House of Representatives 313 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pocan:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Commission's efforts to modernize the universal service Lifeline program. I share your desire to ensure that Lifeline continues to assist low income consumers with access to affordable communications services. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

I wholeheartedly agree that broadband has evolved to become an essential vehicle for expanding access to information, health services, educational resources, and employment opportunities. And while it is clear that broadband has become essential in today's society, affordability remains a major barrier to adoption by low-income consumers. That is why transforming Lifeline for the 21st century is key to the future of this vital program.

Earlier this month, working closely with Commissioner Clyburn, I circulated a proposed Order for my colleagues' consideration that would modernize the Commission's Lifeline program to make broadband more affordable for low-income Americans. At the same time, the proposed Order would put in place a number of key programmatic reforms designed to protect the integrity of the Lifeline program and build on the Commission's recent efforts to root out waste, fraud, and abuse in the program. The Order will be considered at the FCC's March 31 Open Meeting.

The proposed Order takes a number of the steps you recommend to address the broadband affordability gap. For example, for the first time, low income consumers could, as you suggest, apply the \$9.25 per month support to stand-alone broadband service, as well as bundled voice and data service packages. In addition, the Order would free up the Lifeline marketplace to encourage wide participation in the program by broadband providers, giving consumers competitive service options. And the proposed Order would put in place minimum service standards to ensure that eligible subscribers' benefits are directed only to quality services that are worthy of universal service funding.

income-based eligibility. The Order would also establish a National Eligibility Verifier that would remove telecommunications carriers from the process of making eligibility determinations. I expect that these changes will make Lifeline a truly 21st Century program that effectively and responsibly makes broadband service accessible for low-income households.

While the Order on circulation takes many of your recommended steps, I recognize that it may not take all of them. Nonetheless, I am heartened that we agree completely on the critical need to modernize the Lifeline program for a digital era and to do so as soon as possible. The proposed Lifeline Order was designed with two equally important goals in mind—to help connect low-income Americans to the Internet and to ensure the fiscal integrity of the program going forward. We need not choose between the two. We can—and must—have both. And we can—and must—do so now.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,